

The following changes, additions, deletions, clarifications, or corrections shall become part of the Request for Proposals for the above listed project. This Addendum #01 forms a part of the RFP document and modifies the original documents. **Addendum #01 MUST Be acknowledged in the cover letter.** Failure to do so may subject response to disqualification.

Owner: Glendale Community College District	Program Management Office (PMO): Gafcon
Project: ENVIRONMENTAL (CEQA) COMPLIANCE CONSULTING SERVICES	Program Manager: Joe Jackson
Bid No. GCC-008	Contracts & Risk Manager: Silva Sorkazian

Informational Updates
1. Request for Clarification (RFC) / Information (RFI) QUESTIONS & ANSWERS
2. Measure GCC Project List, Baseline Budget, Schedule - Board of Trustees Approved June 9, 2026

No.	Date Received	REQUESTS FOR CLARIFICATION (RFC) DUE 6/12/2026 BY 2:00 PM PST	DISTRICT RFC RESPONSES ISSUED BY 6/17/2026
1	6/8/2026	Will the firm selected as the CEQA consultant under GCC-008 be precluded from responding to future District solicitations for civil engineering design or construction management services on projects for which they prepared CEQA documentation under this contract?	No.
2	6/12/2026	Attachment C, Price Proposal, requests a proposed Not-to-Exceed Contract Price for CEQA Consulting Services. Since this is an on-call contract and there is no Scope of Work or number, and duration of future task orders are unknown, how should consultants determine the proposed Not-to-Exceed Contract Price? Is there an anticipated contract budget, annual spending limit, or maximum contract value that consultants should use when preparing their proposals?	This contract is for a specific scope; not an 'on-call services' agreement. We would need a price for all projects covered for these services for all projects and locations. Refer to "Measure GCC Project List & Baseline Budget" for Board Approved on June 9, 2026.
3	6/12/2026	Attachment C, Price Proposal, requests a task-by-task cost breakdown. Since this RFQ is for on-call services and the scope and level of effort for individual tasks will vary significantly based on future project needs, would it be acceptable for consultants to indicate "TBD" for these line items?	See response to Item 3.
4	6/12/2026	RFQ page 6, "4.2.1. Cover Sheet" states that respondents must include a statement indicating that they have reviewed the Agreement and have no objections to its use. However, RFQ item "4.2.8. CEQA Consultant Agreement Comments" also allows respondents to identify requested modifications to the Agreement and submit proposed	If respondent has no objections to the sample Agreement, then please state so in the cover sheet. If respondent wishes to propose modifications to the Agreement,

		revisions. If a respondent wishes to propose modifications to the Agreement, should the required statement in the cover sheet be revised accordingly, or should respondents still include the statement as written and separately identify any requested modifications?	separately identify any requested modifications.
5	6/12/2026	Attachment C, Price Proposal Tables 3 (Consultant Personnel Rates) and 4 (Subconsultant Personnel Rates), provide space for only two personnel classifications in each table. To accurately reflect all proposed staff and subconsultants, may respondents attach additional pages or supplemental rate schedules to include personnel classifications beyond those provided in the form?	Yes, using the same format as a template.
6	6/12/2026	Under Section 4.3.3.5 of the Evaluation Criteria it asks that Respondents "Provide a proposed schedule for completing obligations under the Consultant Agreement and Tasks 1-8, as outlined in EXHIBIT "A" of the Sample Agreement to this RFP", which is worth 15 points. Section 4.2 (RFQ/P Response Format and Organization) does not mention the Proposed Schedule. Where would the Glendale Community College District want Respondents to provide the proposed schedule in their response file?	Please provide schedule as a separate exhibit, not subject to page count.
7	6/12/2026	In Attachment B (Qualifications Statement) for Part 2 (Respondent Annual Revenue) it states "Complete the following for each of the calendar years/fiscal years noted below. If any portion of the annual gross revenue or dollar value of contracts, as disclosed below, are generated by services including DSA Project CEQA Consulting Services." How should we fill out the table? Do we provide the Annual Gross Revenue, Average Dollar Value of All Contracts, and Dollar Value of Largest Contract for all yearly revenues of the firm, or only for the revenues generated by services which include DSA Project CEQA Consulting Services?	Provide the Annual Gross Revenue for all projects.
8	6/12/2026	We noted that Section 4.2.1 requires respondents to include a statement indicating they have reviewed the indemnification and professional liability insurance provisions and have no objections to the use of the Agreement. However, Section 4.2.8 also provides respondents the opportunity to identify requested modifications to the Consultant Agreement. Can the District clarify whether proposing limited contract exceptions pursuant	See response to Item 4

		to Section 4.2.8 will have any impact on the evaluation of proposals, or whether such exceptions will be considered separately during contract negotiations following selection?	
9	6/9/2026	Does the District plan to select a single firm or multiple firms?	A single firm will be selected.
10	6/9/2026	The RFQ/P requests a cost estimate and schedule for an undefined project. The requirements for successful CEQA processing can vary substantially based on the project details. For example, it is unknown what level of traffic analysis would be required to adequately scope and price a traffic study. Will the successful bidder be held to the fee and schedule that are submitted for evaluation purposes, or will there be an opportunity to negotiate project-specific scopes and costs after the selection process, once project details are available?	The fee and schedule submitted in response to this RFQ/P should be based on preparation and processing of a Negative Declaration/Mitigated Negative Declaration. Because specific projects have not yet been defined, project-specific scopes, schedules, and budgets will be developed and negotiated after consultant selection. If technical studies are required to support CEQA compliance, the District reserves the right to either contract directly with the necessary specialty consultants or require the selected consultant to retain and manage qualified subconsultants. The submitted fee and schedule will be used for evaluation purposes and are not intended to establish a fixed cost or schedule for all future assignments.
11	6/9/2026	We would like to submit a cost estimate that reflects the actual scope of work that will be involved with the provision of the requested CEQA services. We have reviewed the development projects envisioned in the 2025-2035 Facilities Strategic Plan (Plan); does the Glendale Community College District know which development projects identified in the Plan are likely to be selected and the subject of the CEQA services and, if so, can you please provide this information?	See response to Item 2.
12	6/9/2026	In Section 4.2.10, Acknowledgement of Addenda, the District asks that we include one of two statements dependent on if addenda are issued, which must be included in our cover letter. Should the addenda statement be in our Cover Sheet (Section 4.2.1) or in our Letter of Interest (Section 4.2.2)?	Please issue the statement in the Letter of Interest.

Measure GCC Project List, Baseline Budget, Schedule
Board of Trustees Approved June 9, 2026

GLENDALE COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT (GCCD)	BUDGET	CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE		
		Start	Finish	Months
Instructional Building & Conference Center (IBCC)	\$ 125,132,275	11/30/26	12/30/28	25
Sound Stage Studio	\$ 30,372,197	05/01/28	05/30/30	25
Auditorium Replacement	\$ 78,702,572	02/01/30	02/28/32	25
Tongva Renovation	\$ 10,758,848	12/01/30	09/30/31	10
Entertainment Technology Swing Space	\$ 3,121,585	06/01/26	08/01/26	2
Fire & Public Safety Academy	\$ 47,014,162	11/01/32	11/30/34	25
Athletic Field Replacement	\$ 4,685,497	12/01/26	05/30/27	6
Field House Replacement & Locker Room	\$ 19,445,136	06/01/28	02/28/30	21
Mountain St. Entrance Upgrades & Marquee	\$ 5,043,230	12/01/27	09/30/28	10
GLENDALE - VERDUGO CAMPUS TOTAL	\$ 324,275,504			
Allied Health Physical & Occupational Therapy Building	\$ 51,473,393	01/01/31	02/28/33	26
GLENDALE - MONTROSE CAMPUS TOTAL	\$ 51,473,393			
Garfield Campus Improvements	\$ 1,930,386	01/01/28	11/30/28	11
GLENDALE - GARFIELD CAMPUS TOTAL	\$ 1,930,386			
ERP Implementation (Huron & Vantage)	\$ 7,638,782	10/01/25	12/31/26	15
EPM Conversion (Innofin)	\$ 700,000	10/01/25	12/31/26	15
College Phone System - VoIP Upgrade	\$ 1,000,000	10/01/25	12/31/26	15
GCC - Network & WiFi-7 Refresh	\$ 3,442,551	09/27/27	12/31/29	27
GLENDALE - DISTRICT TECHNOLOGY TOTAL	\$ 12,781,333			
Infrastructure Upgrade (Rerouting and Installing new fiber and copper cabling)	\$ 10,000,000	02/01/27	12/31/29	35
District Infrastructure - Sewer and Water	\$ 87,196,109	02/01/27	02/01/30	36
District Restroom Upgrades	\$ 10,029,466	09/27/27	09/27/29	24
District MEP Upgrades	\$ 73,273,367	02/01/27	02/01/29	24
GLENDALE - DISTRICT INFRASTRUCTURE TOTAL	\$ 180,498,942			
Program Implementation Expense	\$ 23,110,851	07/01/25	11/30/34	113
Program Contingency	\$ 60,000,000	07/01/25	11/30/34	113
GLENDALE - PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION TOTAL	\$ 83,110,851			
Measure GCC Program Total	\$ 654,070,409			

END OF ADDENDUM #01